

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOI/PA  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOI/PA# 1211520-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 178

Page 3 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 4 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 5 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 6 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 7 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 8 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 9 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 10 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 11 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 12 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 13 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 20 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 21 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 22 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 24 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 25 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 26 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 27 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 28 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 29 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 30 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 31 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 32 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 33 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 34 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 35 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 39 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 40 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 41 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 42 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 43 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 44 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 45 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 46 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 47 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 48 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 49 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 50 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 51 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 52 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 53 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 54 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 55 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 66 ~ b1; b3; b7D;  
Page 67 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 68 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 69 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 70 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 71 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 72 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; Referral/Consult;  
Page 73 ~ b3; b6; b7C; Referral/Consult;  
Page 74 ~ b3; b6; b7C; Referral/Consult;  
Page 75 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 76 ~ b1; b3; b7D;  
Page 77 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 78 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 79 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 80 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 81 ~ b1; b3;

Page 82 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 83 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 84 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 85 ~ b3;  
Page 86 ~ b3;  
Page 87 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 88 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 89 ~ b1; b3; b7D;  
Page 90 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 91 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 92 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 93 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 94 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 95 ~ b3; b7D;  
Page 96 ~ b3; b7D;  
Page 97 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 98 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 99 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 104 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 105 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 106 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 107 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
Page 109 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 110 ~ b3;  
Page 111 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 112 ~ b1; b3;  
Page 113 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 114 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 115 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 116 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 117 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 118 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 119 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 120 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 121 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 122 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 123 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 124 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 125 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 126 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 127 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 128 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 129 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 130 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 131 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 132 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 133 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 134 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 135 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 136 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 137 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 138 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 139 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 140 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 141 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 142 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 143 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 144 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 145 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 146 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 147 ~ Referral/Direct;  
Page 149 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 150 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 151 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
Page 152 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;

Page 153 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 154 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 155 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 156 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 157 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 158 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 159 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 160 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 161 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 162 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 163 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 164 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 165 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 166 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 167 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 168 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 169 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;  
 Page 170 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;  
 Page 171 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 172 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 173 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 174 ~ b3;  
 Page 175 ~ b3;  
 Page 176 ~ Referral/Direct;  
 Page 177 ~ Referral/Direct;  
 Page 178 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 179 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 180 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 181 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 182 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 183 ~ b3;  
 Page 184 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 185 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 186 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 187 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 188 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 189 ~ b3; b6; b7C; Referral/Consult;  
 Page 190 ~ b3; b6; b7C; Referral/Consult;  
 Page 191 ~ b3; b6; b7C; Referral/Consult;  
 Page 192 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 195 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 196 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 197 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 198 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 199 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 200 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 201 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 202 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 203 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 204 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 205 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 206 ~ b1; b3;  
 Page 207 ~ Referral/Consult;  
 Page 208 ~ Referral/Direct;

```

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X   Deleted Page(s)     X
X   No Duplication Fee  X
X   For this Page       X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
  
```

FBI  
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/27/86 BY 60322 uc/4/bee

September 17, 1953

62-80750-✓  
Honorable William P. Rogers  
Deputy Attorney General  
Department of Justice  
Washington, D. C.

FOR INSTRUCTIONS AS  
TO DISSEMINATION  
SEE FILE 66-8603-1069

My dear Mr. Rogers:

It has come to the attention of the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference that on July 21, 1953, H.R. 137, in the form of a concurrent resolution, was introduced calling for the establishment of a Joint Committee on Central Intelligence with nine members from the Senate and nine members from the House. The Joint Committee would make continuing studies of the activities of the Central Intelligence Agency and of problems relating to the gathering of intelligence affecting the national security and of its coordination and utilization by the various departments and agencies. It would be required to keep the Joint Committee fully and currently informed of its activities. The committee could hold meetings at times and places deemed necessary and could require by subpoena, and otherwise, the attendance of witnesses, production of books, papers and documents and could take testimony as deemed necessary.

It has also come to the attention of the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference that H.R. 138 and H.R. 139, in the form of concurrent resolutions, were introduced and are identical in language. H.R. 138 and H.R. 139 are identical with H.R. 137, referred to above, except they do not refer specifically to the Central Intelligence Agency. They provide,

"The joint committee shall make continuing studies of the intelligence activities and problems relating to the gathering of intelligence affecting the national security and of its coordination and utilization by the various departments and agencies....The Central Intelligence Agency and related intelligence services shall keep the joint committee fully and currently informed with respect to their activities."

The members of the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference are of the unanimous opinion that H.R. 138 or H.R. 139

Tolson  
Ladd  
Nichols  
Belmont  
Clegg  
Glavin  
Harbo  
Rosen  
Tracy  
Gearty  
Mohr  
Winterrowd  
Tele. Room  
Holloman

became law, it would seriously affect the efficient and highly confidential operations of the intelligence services and would probably compromise confidential informants. This would be particularly true in view of the fact that the Federal Bureau of Investigation; Office of the Chief of Staff, G-2, of the Army; Office of Naval Intelligence; and Office of Special Investigations of the Air Force could be required to produce for the committee "books, papers and documents."

In so far as H.R. 167 relating directly to CI is concerned, the IIC members feel that language should be inserted which would specifically exempt from consideration by the committee the internal security field which is presently adequately covered by the IIC and the ICIS which are directly responsible to the National Security Council presided over by the President. It is noted that, in addition to direct responsibility to the National Security Council for internal security matters, the agencies represented in the IIC and the ICIS are responsible to the heads of their various departments.

This matter is being called to your attention in order that you may be aware of the complete undesirability of H.R. 168 and H.R. 169 and of the lesser objections to H.R. 167.

Sincerely yours,

Chairman  
Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference

# Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. D. M. LADD

DATE: August 10, 1953

FROM : A. H. BELMONT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/27/06 BY 60322UC/LP/60

SUBJECT: BILLS TO ESTABLISH A JOINT CONGRESSIONAL  
COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

Tolson  
Ladd  
Nichols  
Belmont  
Clegg  
Glavin  
Harbo  
Rosen  
Tracy  
Gearty  
Mohr  
Winterrowd  
Tele. Room  
Holloman  
Sizoo  
Miss Gandy

On July 21, 1953, H.R. 167 was introduced by Mr. Patterson. The bill was in the form of a concurrent resolution. The bill called for the establishment of a Joint Committee on Central Intelligence with nine members from the Senate and nine members from the House. The Joint Committee would make continuing studies of the activities of the Central Intelligence Agency and of problems relating to the gathering of intelligence affecting the national security and of its coordination and utilization by the various departments and agencies. CIA would be required to keep the Joint Committee fully and currently informed of its activities. The committee could hold meetings at times and places deemed necessary and could require by subpoena, and otherwise, the attendance of witnesses, production of books, papers and documents and could take testimony as deemed necessary.

On July 23, 1953, H.R. 169, in the form of a concurrent resolution, was introduced by Mr. Sablcocki. Also on July 23, 1953, H.R. 168, in the form of a concurrent resolution, was introduced by Mr. Kelly. H.R. 168 and 169 are identical in language. Both of these are identical with H.R. 167, analyzed above, except they do not refer specifically to the Central Intelligence Agency. H.R. 168 and 169 provide, "The joint committee shall make continuing studies of the intelligence activities and problems relating to the gathering of intelligence affecting the national security and of its coordination and utilization by the various departments and agencies.....The Central Intelligence Agency and related intelligence services shall keep the joint committee fully and currently informed with respect to their activities."

It appears that H.R. 168 and 169 were worded differently from H.R. 167 in order to include any agency gathering intelligence affecting the national security. This would include the FBI and the other intelligence agencies. If such a bill became law, the Joint Committee could require the Bureau and all other intelligence agencies to produce "books, papers and documents" as the committee deemed advisable.

ESS:hke

162-80750-  
NOT RECORDED  
176 MAR 29 1954

NOT RECORDED  
75 OCT 3 1953

ORIGINAL FILED IN 66-8603-11748

Establish A Joint Congressional  
Committee on Intelligence Matters

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that this matter be placed on the agenda for the next Working Committee meeting of the IIC and that consideration be given by the IIC to calling to the attention of the Attorney General the undesirability, from an IIC standpoint, of H.R. 168 and H.R. 169.

It is noted that the bill to follow the activities of CIA might be desirable inasmuch as CIA is an independent organization responsible only to the President, but the bills which would include the IIC agencies are undesirable from an IIC standpoint inasmuch as each of the IIC agencies is responsible to a department head in the Executive Branch of the Government.

OK  
JH  
LJ

THE DIRECTOR

September 16, 1953

D. M. Ladd

BILLS TO ESTABLISH A JOINT  
CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE  
ON INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/27/06 BY 60322 uc/lp/bce

On July 21, 1953, H.R. 167 was introduced in the form of a concurrent resolution and calls for the establishment of a Joint Committee on Central Intelligence with nine members from the Senate and nine members from the House. The committee would make continuing studies of the activities of CIA, and of problems relating to the gathering of intelligence affecting the national security and of its coordination and utilization by the various departments and agencies. CIA would be required to keep the Joint Committee fully and currently informed of its activities. The committee would hold meetings as deemed necessary and could require by subpoena, and otherwise, the attendance of witnesses, production of books, papers and documents.

On July 23, 1953, H.R. 168 and H.R. 169 in the form of concurrent resolutions were introduced and are identical in language. Both are identical with H.R. 167, referred to above except they do not refer specifically to CIA. They provide:

"The joint committee shall make continuing studies of the intelligence activities and problems relating to the gathering of intelligence affecting the national security and of its coordination and utilization by the various departments and agencies... The Central Intelligence Agency and related intelligence services shall keep the joint committee fully and currently informed with respect to their activities."

This matter was discussed at the IIC working Committee meeting on September 9, 1953, and attached heret is a suggested IIC letter to the Deputy Attorney General reflecting the objection of the IIC agencies to H.R. 168 and H.R. 169 on the grounds reporting to such a committee would seriously affect the efficient and highly confidential operations of the intelligence services and would probably compromise confidential informants. The suggested letter states that in so far as H.R. 167 relating directly to CIA is concerned, the IIC members feel that language should be inserted which would specifically exempt from consideration by the committee the internal security field which is presently

Attached

ESS:hke

FOR INSTRUCTIONS AS TO DISSEMINATION  
SEE FILE 66-8603-1069  
NOT RECORDED  
176 MAR 29 1954

ORIGINAL FILED IN 66-8603-111

51 MAR 30 1954



Memorandum for the Director, 9/16/53

adequately covered by the IIC and the IGIS which are directly responsible to the National Security Council presided over by the President. The letter notes that in addition to direct responsibility to the NSC, for internal security matters, the agencies represented in the IIC and the IGIS are responsible to the heads of their various departments.

RECOMMENDATION:

If you approve, it is recommended that the attached IIC letter be signed and forwarded to the Deputy Attorney General. The letter has been approved by the other members of the IIC.

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson *V*

DATE: Sept. 24, 1953

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT: CIA *Central Intelligence Agency*  
 INQUIRY BY SENATE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE  
 ON INVESTIGATIONS

Tolson ☒  
 Ladd ☒  
 Nichols ☒  
 Belmont ☒  
 Clegg ☒  
 Glavin ☒  
 Harbo ☒  
 Rosen ☒  
 Tracy ☒  
 Garry ☒  
 Mohr ☒  
 Winterrowd ☒  
 Tele. Room ☒  
 Holloman ☒  
 Sizoo ☒  
 Miss Gandy ☒

On September 9, 1953, I submitted a memorandum discussing a visit to the Bureau by Don Surine and Mr. O'Melia of Senator McCarthy's staff. One of the individuals referred to by Surine and [ ] was [ ] a former Special Agent. The Director noted on page 4 of this memorandum where [ ] is discussed "What do our files show re [ ]? H."

The information which Surine and [ ] had given me was

b3  
 b6  
 b7C

Our files show nothing resembling any of this data on

[ ] permanent brief, brought up to date, is attached.

Attachment

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
 DATE 8/27/06 BY 60322 UC/4/6/06

INDEXED - 32

FEC:ps

62-80750-2212X

PERS. FILES

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: October 14, 1953

FROM : THE EXECUTIVES' CONFERENCE

SUBJECT: DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION BY THE BUREAU OUTSIDE THE EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS

The Executives' Conference, consisting of Messrs. Ladd, Nichols, Clegg, Glavin, Harbo, Tracy, Holloman, Gearty and Belmont, on October 14, 1953, considered current policy relative to furnishing information from Bureau files outside of the Executive Departments and made recommendations as to future procedures. As the problem covers a wide area, it is broken down, as set forth below, under separate captions with the Executives' Conference recommendation as to each:

(1) Dissemination Under Program "Responsibilities of the FBI in the Internal Security Field"

Under this program the Bureau volunteers information regarding subjects who are on the Security Index to the Governor of a state, or to a responsible local official, whoever is most appropriate under the circumstances, when the subject is employed in a public utility outside the vital facilities list (dissemination re vital facilities list is made to Armed Forces), or in a public or semi-public organization. In each instance the Bureau specifically passes upon the information to be disseminated; the dissemination is oral; and the field is required to furnish a statement regarding the reliability and discretion of the individual to whom the information is to be given before authority is granted.

The "Responsibilities Program" was initiated as a result of the conference on February 12, 1951, between the Director and a Committee of Governors appointed by the Executive Committee of the Governors' Conference. The Committee was designated to come to Washington for the purpose of developing greater coordination in the field of internal security between the state and Federal governments.

The basis for the Bureau's present policy under this Program is a memorandum dated February 13, 1951, which points out that if we consider the fact that the Bureau is responsible for the internal security of the country as a whole and that public utilities, public organizations and semi-public organizations are serving large portions of the people, it is plain

CC - Mr. Clegg  
Mr. Mohr

AHB:tlc

Tolson  
Ladd  
Nichols  
Belmont  
Glavin  
Harbo  
Tracy  
Gearty  
Mohr  
Tele. Room  
Holloman  
Sizoo  
Miss Gandy

Memo to Ladd  
10-19-53  
Memo to Mohr  
10-20-53  
D-1

2-11

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

that we have a responsibility for the protection of the facilities when we have information of a subversive nature affecting them. The memorandum points out that our responsibility is to the people and, therefore, the recipient of any information we may furnish should be the state or local governor, or police department, representing the people. This was discussed at Executives' Conference on February 16, 1951, and instructions were sent to the field by SAC Letter #19 dated February 17, 1951. The field was instructed that local conditions would govern the selection of the agency to which the information was to be volunteered; in some instances it would be advisable to furnish information to the governor, and in others, more advisable to deal directly with some local official with whom the field office had excellent relations and whose discretion had been established, such as the Chief of Police or Mayor.

Under this Program the Bureau has disseminated information regarding subjects of Security Index cards to a large number of state and local officials. Our requests that the relationship be kept confidential have been honored in nearly all cases. However, the City Manager of Cincinnati, Wilbur R. Kellogg, to whom we recently volunteered information in confidence regarding an employee of the City of Cincinnati, revealed to the press under political pressure that the Bureau was his source of information. There have been one or two other instances wherein it was indicated that a confidential arrangement in furnishing this information was abused, but not as flagrant as in the case of Mr. Kellogg. In each instance where our confidence is abused, a question is, of course, raised regarding our standard claim that the files of the FBI are confidential.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference unanimously felt that the advantages of disseminating information under this program outweigh the disadvantages and that the Bureau under this program is meeting a responsibility to the people of this country. The Conference felt further that this program is the Bureau's answer to any claims by state or local officials that the Bureau is not cooperating in the security field. The Conference unanimously felt that dissemination should continue to be restricted to Security Index subjects and that we should not volunteer information regarding individuals not

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

on the Security Index. Any exceptions to this rule should be most carefully considered. The Conference vote was split as to the method of dissemination:

*show this view. H.*  
Messrs. Ladd, Gearty, Holloman and Belmont *Jolson* recommended that hereafter dissemination under this program should be made confidentially to the state governor, or in his absence or if reason exists why it should not be furnished to the governor, to another responsible state official recommended by the field office. If a good reason exists why the information cannot be given to the governor or state official, we can then consider as an exception furnishing the information to a reliable local official. This procedure would have the effect of keeping our dissemination on a high state level and restricting dissemination to 48 states, rather than to numerous local officials.

*It must be only when emphasized in a public utility & more in the same manner.*  
Messrs. Harbo, Glavin, Nichols, Clegg and Tracy *H.* recommended that we continue as under our current policy, i.e., *limit.* that the information be disseminated to the governor of the state or other state official, or to a responsible local official or chief of police, whoever is the most appropriate under the circumstances, with the understanding in each case that the information is furnished confidentially. They pointed out that if we restrict dissemination to the state level, the governor will have to pass the information along to local officials who can take action and, consequently, more persons than absolutely necessary will be cognizant of the dissemination by the Bureau. They felt that local officials will honor the confidential nature of the information to a greater degree if the Bureau deals directly with them.

(2) Name Checks for State Governors

As a result of the conference on February 12, 1951, with representatives of the Governors' Conference, they were advised that if the governors desired information concerning an individual to be appointed to a state government office, the Bureau would furnish information to that governor in response to his request. We have provided information to several state governors including Governor Warren, Governor Fine and others. Recently, we discontinued information to Governor Fine.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference unanimously recommended that we continue to accept such requests from governors and confine our name checks to requests re persons being considered for appointment to a state government position and that the material furnished should be public source material. Each request will be considered on its merits and if a reason exists why the information should not be furnished, the request will, of course, not be honored.

*I agree -*

*H.*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

(3) Red Cross

On January 14, 1943, Ugo Carusi, then Executive Assistant to the Attorney General, recommended to the Director that the FBI furnish to the American National Red Cross memoranda in response to their requests for name checks. We have made name checks for the Red Cross since that time, but do not furnish them the results of loyalty investigations. Because of their close association with the defense effort and the fact that the President of the United States is the honorary head of the American Red Cross, it has generally been regarded as a quasi governmental agency.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Conference unanimously recommended that we continue to make name checks for the Red Cross.

(4) Police Departments

Section 5D2a of the Manual of Rules and Regulations provides that upon receipt of a specific request for information, a field office may furnish information of a public source nature relating to subversive matters by blind memorandum to proper representatives of local and state law enforcement agencies. The Bureau's identity as source must be kept confidential.

Since July 27, 1950, the Bureau has processed names of applicants of the Metropolitan Police Department in view of the Director's opinion that the Metropolitan Police Department is semi-Federal, inasmuch as it polices the Nation's Capital.

The New York Office makes name checks of probationary patrolmen of the New York City Police Department with Bureau authority.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference recommended that we continue our policy, as set forth above, regarding Police Departments. *OK but only public source information.*

(5) General Accounting Office; Government Printing Office; Library of Congress

Employees of these agencies are processed under Executive Order 10450 (Employees' Security Program) by Departmental authorization. Our investigative reports are furnished to these agencies through Civil Service Commission. These agencies occasionally submit names of persons who may have access to restricted areas or information to the Bureau for name checks.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference unanimously recommended we continue these investigations and name checks.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

(6) Foreign Intelligence Agencies and Foreign Police Agencies.

3

b7D

b3

For a number of years the Bureau has disseminated information generally on criminal matters, particularly data from Identification Division records, to foreign police agencies on the same basis as such information is furnished to agencies in



MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

the United States. This practice resulted from the relationships which we developed with foreign police agencies while the Bureau was a member of the International Criminal Police Commission and because it furthers the cooperation afforded the Bureau's representatives stationed abroad when contacting these agencies with regard to Bureau investigative matters having foreign ramifications.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference unanimously recommended that we continue the above policies as set forth.

(7) U. S. Courts.

We have received requests from time to time from Judges, including former Chief Justice Fred Vinson and Justice Tom Clark, for information from our files generally in connection with individuals they plan to employ in their offices. In such instances appropriate information has been furnished to these officials without obtaining Departmental approval.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference unanimously recommended that we continue handling these requests as in the past.

(8) Sex Deviates.

Memorandum for Bureau Officials and Supervisors of June 20, 1951, set forth a uniform policy for furnishing information concerning allegations concerning present and past employees of any branch of the United States Government. In addition to specific instructions for furnishing information to the Executive Branch, specific individuals were designated to receive this type of information in the Legislative Branch, i.e., the United States Senate, the Botanical Gardens, the Library of Congress, the House of Representatives, General Accounting Office, and Government Printing Office. A specified individual was designated to receive information concerning sex deviates among employees of the Judicial Branch of the Government. In the past since the designation of this policy, dissemination has been made in accordance with the policy set forth.



MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference unanimously recommended that we continue our policy in connection with the dissemination of information on sex deviates. ✓ OK

(9) Other Individuals.

The general rule followed when requests are received for information from Bureau files from other individuals outside the Executive Branch of the Federal Government is to advise them that we are unable to assist in view of the confidential nature of FBI files and that we are not permitted to release such information except upon the expressed direction of the Attorney General. All requests of this type are individually considered and information is furnished where the best interests of the Bureau would be served after approval is given by responsible Bureau officials. Among the individuals who have been furnished information are members of the new Administration who were furnished information from our files prior to the change in Administration, ex-President Herbert Hoover, a Commissioner of Municipal Civil Service Commission in New York City, and the Department of Welfare in New York City.

Executives' Conference Recommendation:

The Executives' Conference unanimously recommended that we continue our rule that the files of the Bureau are confidential and that any exceptions to this rule must be most carefully considered. *Yes & no exceptions are to be made except upon very specific OK*

(10) Bar Associations.

From time to time the Bureau has extended assistance to Bar Associations in selected situations when to do so appeared to be in the public interest. In several districts inquiries have been received from time to time regarding applicants to the Bar and information has been furnished on a confidential basis. In addition, requests have been received regarding disbarment proceedings, such as the current case involving attorney Emanuel Bloch in NY.

Executives' Conference Recommendation: The Conference unanimously recommended that we stop furnishing information to the Bar Associations in view of the fact that it appears from Mr. Nichols' memo of October 13, 1953, that the National Conference of Bar Examiners in Denver may have been abusing our confidence by advising that we have been furnishing information. Relative to disbarment proceedings, the Conference felt that each instance should be considered on the merits of the case. For example, in the case of Emanuel Bloch, it is to the public interest that the Bureau furnish such information as is possible. ✓

DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES:

Mr. Nichols presented to the Conference the matter of furnishing information to Congressional Committees. It was pointed out that we had furnished information to the following Congressional Committees:

Joint Committee on Atomic Energy  
Senate Appropriations Committee  
Senate Armed Services Committee  
Preparedness Subcommittee to the Senate Armed Services Committee  
House Committee on Un-American Activities  
Senate Judiciary Committee  
House Judiciary Committee  
Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare  
Subcommittee on Labor Management Relations of the  
Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare  
Senate Foreign Relations Committee--relations with Senator Wiley

We have furnished information to the Senate <sup>Permanent</sup> Investigating Committee up until the late Summer when the Committee appointed former Special Agent Carr as Staff Director. Since then no information has been furnished to this Committee. (McCarthy)

It was further pointed out that there may have been some isolated requests from other Committees and there have been requests from Committees which are no longer functioning such as the Select Committee to Investigate Foundations (the old Cox Committee in the House). It was pointed out that as a matter of present relations, the Senate Internal Security Committee is the only Committee on which there is a continuing program of cooperation which was established by the Attorney General personally on March 15, 1951, Following the advent of the new Administration, this relationship has been continued. (Jenner)

It was the unanimous recommendation of Messrs. Ladd, Holloman, Belmont, Gearty, Clegg, Harbo and Nichols there be no change in relationship with the Internal Security Committee. Mr. Clegg pointed out that considerable good has come to the Bureau from <sup>an</sup> amiable relationship with the Senate.

Those members of the Conference present also unanimously recommended that there be no change in the relations with the Senate and House Appropriations Committees and the Senate and House Judiciary Committees. Of course the cooperation extended the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy is pursuant to the law.

The Conference was unanimous, however, in recommending that requests from all other Committees be referred to the Department, which has been the traditional manner of responding to Congressional requests.

The Conference felt that the relationship with the Appropriations Committee was a little different inasmuch as the broad overall authority of the Appropriations Committees was different than that of any other Committee of Congress. The same reasoning was advanced with reference to the Judiciary Committee which has the overall supervision of the Department incomes.

*I think we should send memo to Mr. and Rogers - advising of our present policy - and requesting a definite statement of future policy*  
INVESTIGATION OF APPLICANTS FOR CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES:

On March 9, 1953, Mr. Rogers established as a matter of Departmental policy that the Bureau would continue to make applicant investigations for the following Committees of the House and the Senate:

1. Judiciary Committees
2. Appropriations Committees
3. Joint Committee on Atomic Energy
4. Armed Services Committee
5. Foreign Relations Committee

The Conference was unanimous in recommending no change in this proceeding.

#### REQUEST FOR NAME CHECKS FROM MEMBERS OF CONGRESS ON PROSPECTIVE EMPLOYEES:

The Conference was unanimous in recommending a continuation of our present procedure which is pretty much that of handling each request on an individual basis. Requests fall in the following categories:

- a. Where a Member of Congress requests background on a former employee, the service record is now furnished.
- b. Requests for name checks on individuals previously investigated by the Bureau for other Government agencies. At the present time there is no question about replying to such requests for the 5 Committees for whom we make applicant investigations. Others are handled on an individual basis.

The Conference recommended no change on handling the  
name check requests.

Respectfully,  
For the Conference

Clyde Tolson

Date of Removal 2-8-54Report  
Letter  
EnclosureMemo submitted byKearDated Dec. 2, 1952 has been removed for Mr. William  
to be sent to Director

Subject

Jay Lovestone

Synopsis

This is to be removed from file upon the return of the item and  
forwarded to the Coordinator of Mail and Files

Removed by

E. M. G.

Date Request Received

File Number

62-80750-4

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/15/06 BY 60322UC/4/6C

# Spy for Russia Says: U. S. Wanted Him to Work Against Allies

By the Associated Press

LUZERN, Switzerland, Nov. 3. —Rudolph Roessler, Russia's World War II master spy, testified in Swiss federal court today that an American woman intelligence agent tried to enlist his services after the war "to counteract French and British influence in Germany."

Roessler, 56-year-old Bavarian exile, is charged with violating Swiss neutrality by maintaining a postwar espionage service for Czechoslovakia against the NATO powers in Western Europe.

He has confessed sending reports to the Czechs since 1947 on the political, economic and military activities of the Western allies in Germany.

Roessler told the tribunal today that he was approaching in 1946 by Mrs. Emily Rado, whom he identified as an American intelligence agent, on the instructions of the German section of the State Department.

"She wanted to enlist me for United States intelligence and also through me to make contacts with numerous other persons, mainly in Germany," Roessler said. "She was interested mainly in counteracting French and British influence in Germany."

Roessler said he refused the offer, but knew that Mrs. Rado moved freely about Switzerland for many months "and found a large number of collaborators who were willing and able to assist her."

Roessler said that in 1951 he was approached for the second time by United States intelligence. Roessler said he rejected both offers because he was opposed to United States policies aiming at rearmament of Germany.

During World War II, Roessler was one of the Soviet Union's most vital sources of military intelligence from Germany. During 1943 and 1944 he provided the Soviet Union almost daily with an accurate picture of the German order of battle.

Roessler has consistently refused to name any of his sources during the war or since. But a personal friend, the Rev. Otto Bärner, a Roman Catholic priest and writer, gave the court a clue today.

Testifying as a defense witness, Father Bärner said Roessler was motivated throughout by "a fear of the East and a hatred of German enemies."

Asked if he knew the source of the information, Roessler said: "I don't know. I was exactly, but the Christ...  
"If I am very wrong, Roessler's contacts came through the council in Geneva. He referred to the Admiral C. Walter Wilhelm of German intelligence."

ADVISEMENT.

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Gearty \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Winterrowd \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holloman \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sizoo \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/16/04 BY 60322 uc lph

Times-Herald

Wash. Post

Wash. News

Wash. Star

N.Y. Herald Tribune

N.Y. Mirror

N.Y. Compass

Date: 11-3-53

ENCLOSURE

ON OUTSIDE OF ENVELOPE  
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/16/06 BY 60322 UC/lp/bce

ENCLOSURE  
62-80750-2239

## Paul H. Nitze to Head Foreign Service Group

By the Associated Press

Paul H. Nitze, former director of the State Department's policy planning staff, was chosen yesterday to be president of the Foreign Service Educational Foundation.

He succeeds Gov. Christian A. Herter of Massachusetts as the second president in the foundation's 10-year history.

The foundation describes itself as a privately supported organization designed to promote better understanding of foreign trade problems by businessmen and Government officials. It is affiliated with the Johns Hopkins University School of Advanced International Studies.

Mr. Nitze, 46, a former vice president of the New York investment banking firm of Dillon, Read & Co., resigned from the State Department last June after 13 years in Federal service.

He first came to Washington as an assistant to the late James V. Forrestal, one-time Secretary of Defense. He lives near La Plata, Md.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/27/06 BY 60322VL/4P  
buc

WASH. EVENING STAR

12/16/53

STP

~~SECRET~~

62-80750-2246



Tolson  
Ladd  
Nichols  
Belmont  
Clegg  
Glavin  
Harbo  
Rosen  
Tracy  
Egan  
Gurnea  
Harbo  
Mohr  
Winterrowd  
Tele. Room  
Holloman  
Ingram  
Miss Gandy

December 23, 1953

MEMORANDUM TO MR. TOLSON:

While discussing other matters with Senator Hickenlooper on December 23, he stated that upon his return from his trip to South America Alan Dulles contacted him about the possibility of issuing a statement on his observations in South America, particularly with reference to Communism. Hickenlooper told Dulles he would do it, but that he did not have this much information. Dulles stated that he would have his people prepare a statement for him. They have not done so as yet. Hickenlooper told Dulles that he wanted the statement several days prior to its release so that he could go over it very carefully.

I gather from Hickenlooper that he was flattered to be asked to make such a statement, but at the same time is a little quizzical about what might be in it. I couldn't help but wonder if this might not be a new public relations tactic on the part of CIA to build up committee members of Congress by flattering their ego with publicity. If this be the case it seems like a very smart move.

Respectfully,

D. B. Nichols

LBN:ps

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 8/27/06 BY 60322uc/lp/bm

62-80750-V

NOT RECORDED  
70 JAN - 8 1954

58 JAN 1 1954

INITIALS ON ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL COPY FILED IN